

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 OAKLAND DIVISION
12

13 EDIN A. CHACON,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 J. CERRINI, et al.,

17 Defendants.
18

C 13-1982 CW (PR)

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING
MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE
A DISPOSITIVE MOTION**

19 Defendants J. Cerini, T. Amrhein-Conama, and E. Patao (Defendants) filed a request for an
20 extension of time of sixty (60) days, up to and including October 28, 2013 to file a dispositive
21 motion.

22 The Court has read and considered Defendants' request and the accompanying declaration
23 of counsel, and finds good cause exists to grant the request. Accordingly, Defendants' request for
24 an extension of time is GRANTED, and the time for Defendants to file a dispositive motion is
25 extended for sixty (60) days, through October 28, 2013. Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive
26 motion shall be filed with the Court and served upon Defendants no later than twenty-eight (28)
27 days from the date the dispositive motion is filed.
28

(

1 A reply brief shall be filed no later than fourteen (14) days after Plaintiff's opposition is
2 filed.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED

4
5 Dated: 8/15/2013


The Hon. Claudia Wilken

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 SF2013205866
28 Prop Order.doc